

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/646,493	03/22/2002	Solomon B. Margolin	183-109(US)	9283
7590 01/31/2006		EXAMINER		
John H Crozier 1934 Huntington Turnpike Trumbull, CT 06611-5116			WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/646,493	MARGOLIN, SOLOMON B.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin E. Weddington	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 De	ecember 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
•						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 10 and 16-18 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 10 and 16-18 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Au						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	v (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/646,493 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

The finality of the Office action dated August 19, 2005 is withdrawn so that new rejections can be made.

Claims 10 and 16-18 are presented for examination.

Applicants' amendment filed December 16, 2005 has been received and entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 10 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for specific bacteria such as Proteus vulgaris, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus; specific fungi such as Candida albicans, Aspergillus niger, Trychophton; and specific viruses such as Herpes virus #1, and Papilloma virus, does not reasonably provide enablement for all types of bacteria such as Gram-negative and Gram-positives; all types of fungi; and all types of viruses. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

In this regard, the application disclosure and claims have been compared per factors indicated in the decision <u>In re Wands</u>, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir., 1988) as to undue experimentation.

The factors include:

Application/Control Number: 09/646,493 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

1) the quantity of experimentation necessary

- 2) the amount of direction or guidance provided
- 3) the presence or absence of working examples
- 4) the nature of the invention
- 5) the state of the art
- 6) the relative skill of those in the art
- 7) the predictability of the art and
- 8) the breadth of the claims

The instant specification fails to provide guidance that would allow the skilled artisan background sufficient to practice that instant invention without resorting to undue experimentation in view of further discussion below.

The nature of the invention, state of the prior art, relative skill of those in the art and the predictability of the art

The claimed invention relates to a method of treating bacteria, fungi, and/or viruses on the surface of, or within, the layers of the dermis of skin, ears, fingernails, toenails, or hoofs of mammalian species, comprising: applying to said surface or layers, a pharmaceutical substance including an effective amount of pirfenidone.

The relative skill of those in the art is generally that of a Ph.D. or M.D.

The present invention is unpredictable unless experimentation is shown for the treatment of all types of bacteria, fungi and viruses with the administration of pirfenidone

## The breadth of the claims

The claims are very broad and inclusive to all types of bacteria, fungi and/or viruses.

The amount of direction or guidance provided and the presence or absence of working examples

The working examples are limited to the administration of pirfenidone to treat bacterial infections caused by Proteus vulgaris, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus; fungi infections caused by specific fungi such as Candida albicans, Aspergillus niger, Trychophton; and viral infections caused by specific viruses such as Herpes virus #1, and Papilloma virus.

No examples showing the active ingredient, pirfenidone, were effective against all types of bacteria, fungi and/or viruses.

## The quantity of experimentation necessary

Applicants have failed to provide guidance as to how the other types of bacteria such as Gram-negative and Gram-positive; other types of fungi; and other types of viruses were treated with the administration of pirfenidone. Therefore, undue experimentation would be required to practice the invention as it is claimed in its current scope.

Claims 10 and 16-18 are not allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 10 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 10, the phrase "including" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

The remaining claims 16-18 are rendered indefinite to the extent that they incorporate the above terminology.

Claims 10 and 16-18 are not allowed.

To overcome this rejection, the applicants may would to amend claim 10, line 4, by deleting the word "including" and insert with word -containing--.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin E. Weddington whose telephone number is (571)272-0587. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:00 am-7:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (571)272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/646,493 Page 6

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin E. Weddingt Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

K. Weddington January 26, 2006